

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/300,930	04/28/1999	JEFFREY T. PACHL	OB003JP-3 5002	
	590 12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL K BOYER ORSCHELN MANAGEMENT CO			FOELAK, MORTON	
2000 US HWY	63 SOUTH		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
MOBERLY, MO 65270			1711	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(
	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action C	09/300,93	0	PACHL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Morton Fo		1711		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the provided period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eve on. , a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing of the of this communication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 October 2004	,			
	This action is no	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for all			secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
	_4:				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		aldauatio :-			
5) Claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from con	sideration.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ınd/or election re	quirement.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner		,		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		Objected to by the F	'yaminer		
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority unde	or 35 II S.C. & 110/o\	(d) or (f)		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	g priority und	55 5.5.6. g 115(a).	(4) 01 (1).		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been	received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bu			3 -		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certific	ed copies not received	J.		
Attachment(s)					
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892))			
?) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	3))			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3/08) 5	Notice of Informal Pa Other:	tent Application (PTO-152)		
. Patent and Trademark Office		7 L. Juiet			
TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	ce Action Summary		Part of Paper No./Mail Date 1104		

Application/Control Number: 09/300,930 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. While the applicants' reference to the part of the specification for support to justify the subject matter clamed does disclose regulating the timing of the reaction between reactions between the claimed phosphoric acid catalyst and epoxy resins, in said composition. But there isn't any disclosure relating to "the exothermic reaction time to be less than 100 seconds".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Art Unit: 1711

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. Claim1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cary et al taken with Wycech et al and Kagoshima et al.
- 4. Applicants arguments appear to be a rehash of the previous ones answered by the examiner in the previous Final and non-final Office actions. However newly filed claims 35-39 will now be examined for the first time.
- 5. In col. 4 starting with lines 28 (line 40) and particularly starting with line 50 and all of col. 5 and particulary lines 37-39, it is indicated that rapid acting catalysts such as boron trifluoride can initiate curing within the claimed range of 30-60 seconds which is within the claimed range however can be retarded by dilution with water and in col. 5 lines 37-39 patentees disclose the use boron trifluoride and phosphoric acid alternatively. With regard to the limitation of "substantially free of water" in the claims note that "substantially free" is defined as 10% or normally less than 5% of water on page 5 lines 12 thru 21 of the specification.

Art Unit: 1711

- 6. In view of the aforesaid it is deemed that it would be motivation to meet the limitations of claims 37-39.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Morton Foelak whose telephone number is (571) 272-1071. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.F.

Nov.27, 2004

Morton Foelak
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1711